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Overview

Over 43 million foreign-born people live in the United States.\(^4\)

**One in six children in Minnesota have at least one foreign-born parent.**\(^2\)

Bullying is related to concurrent and future internalizing problems (i.e., anxiety and depression).\(^3\)

Interpersonal relationships may buffer its effects,\(^1\) but there is limited evidence for adolescents from immigrant backgrounds.
Purpose

Explore association between bullying and internalizing problems among adolescents from immigrant backgrounds.

Evaluate moderating effect of caring relationships at home on the association between bullying victimization and internalizing problems.
Participants

Baseline survey of 248 sixth grade students (56% boys) who, according to school records, lived in home where another language from English was spoken.

Of the 24 different languages or dialects spoken, the most common were:

- Spanish (52%)
- Hmong (14%)
- Somali (8%)
- Vietnamese (5%)
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Relational Bullying: 39.9%
Cyberbullying Bullying: 13.5%
Youth on free/reduced lunch were more likely to not live with two biological parents.

Boys were more likely to experience physical bullying than girls.

More appearance-based bullying was associated with not living with two biological parents.

Boys experienced more internalizing problems than girls.

Adolescents with caring relationships at home were less likely to experience bullying.

Each type of bullying was not only distinct but also associated with internalizing problems.
## Multivariate Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caring Relationships at Home</td>
<td>-.17*</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>-.14</td>
<td>-2.10</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Bullying Victimization</td>
<td>.29*</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caring Relationships at Home</td>
<td>-.10</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>-.09</td>
<td>-1.30</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relational Bullying Victimization</td>
<td>.48***</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caring Relationships at Home</td>
<td>-.13</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>-1.76</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance-Based Bullying Victimization</td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model 4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caring Relationships at Home</td>
<td>-.16*</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>-.142</td>
<td>-2.04</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyberbullying Victimization</td>
<td>.23*</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Models control for school (i.e., recruitment site), gender (i.e., males) and living with two biological parents (* p ≤ .05, ** p ≤ .01, *** p ≤ .001).*

**Table 1.** Linear regression analyses of predictors for internalizing problems.
Implications

Youth from immigrant backgrounds are faced with different challenges.

Clinicians are advised to examine all aspects of their experiences, including bullying and caring relationships at home.

Findings also inform programming that promotes inclusion of youth from immigrant backgrounds with their school peers while facilitating supportive and caring relationships at home.
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